## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/734,518	FENSORE, ALEX T.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1795		

	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
I. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 11.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
<ul> <li>a) ∑ The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to determine and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extensing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extensing the period for extension and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extensing the section of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above. If checked, Arm perby received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contained they are the sum of the proposed amendment of the propos</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)					
7.  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-45.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795			
	,				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for the reasons already made of record. Applicants have not provided any evidence why the prior at electrodes would not possess the claimed characteristics. As previously stated, the Office has provided a factual basis for a rejection under inherency using the intrinsic evidence provided in Applicant's specification. This is believed to be a reasonable basis for asserting inherency, pursuant to MPEP 2112. Applicants have not provided any evidence, other than generally asserting that all phosphate esters would not necessarily assess the claimed characteristics, to rebut the Examiner's assertion of inherency. It is believed that the record as a whole supports the position that the claimed characteristics would be inherent, and Applicants have not convincingly shown otherwise.